

**Painswick Recreation Ground
Response Tracker
Pre-Con Prepped: 18/04/2017
Pre-Con Issued: 19/04/2017**

Reference	Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Comments	Action
1	20/04/2017	Email	Paul Moir	Clarification of access and power route, requesting drawings Further email 25/04 - macro or micro? Supports on visual basis Further email 03/05 - objects - deceptive photomontage, misleading letter, sites considered without input from Parish Council	sent plans Discounted options will be in PS. Logged objection
2	20/04/2017	Email	Colin Western	Objects - too close to residential properties, concerned regarding health, visual impact, why has location been selected	Sent plans and health info, discounted options will be in planning statement
3	21/04/2017	Email	Sabina Marland	Wants to know details of ICNIRP guidelines, what depth with the lines be installed, what depth will footing be installed, why has this site been selected, what will the new coverage area be Email 21/04 - extend consultation period, send letter to more resident Email 05/05 - what is the power; exclusion zone; coverage; will there be a fence; what else will be placed on site; will height be increased; what other sites were considered; should use existing mast at golf course; who decides consultation timescales	Referred to icnirp website; confirmed depths; justification and coverage plots in planning statement Response 09/05 - power info not available; RM will have exclusion zone plan at meeting; no fence; 3 cabinets on site; height shouldn't be increased; discounted options will be in PS; mast at golf course not suitable; referred to Code of Best Practice
4	21/04/2017	Phone Call	Rosie Nash	Objects - consultation period is not legal and not enough letters were sent. Visual impact; health concerns	sent plans and health info
5	21/04/2017	Phone Call	Grant Barnet	Objects - noise levels, wind noise, badgers on site	
6	21/04/2017	Email	Barbara O'Dwyer	Objects - Requesting plans	Sent plans
7	23/04/2017	Email	Daniel Meddings	Objection - health concerns, visual impact	Sent plans and health info
8	23/04/2017	Email	Dawn Dart	Objects - health concerns, visual impact, loss of public land, impact on property value	Sent plans and health info
9	23/04/2017	Email	Andrew Watson	Requesting plans, wants to extend consultation period	Sent plans, confirmed there would be no extension of time
10	24/04/2017	Email	Carly Morris	Objects - visual impact, health concerns	Sent health info and plans
11	24/04/2017	Email	Gerald Deshais	Objects - health concerns - suffers from EMF sensitivity and gets headaches. Visual impact	Sent health info and plans
12	24/04/2017	Email	Ray Aspinwall	Objects - visual impact	Sent plans
13	25/04/2017	Email	Helen Holterman	Objects- visual impact, health concerns, impact on badger sett. Consultation should have been publicised more widely	Sent health info and plans, confirmed no further letters will be issued
14	25/04/2017	Letter	Mr Leslie Griffiths	Concerned we will be removing trees from boundary.	Sent plans, confirmed no trees will be removed.
				Objects - visual impact, proposal is poorly conceived, public consultation is inadequate.	
15	25/04/2017	Letter	Andrew Bacon	Re-sent letter to confirm comments. 03/05/2017	
16	26/04/2017	Letter	Neil Carmichael MP	Acknowledged	
17	26/04/2017	Email	Jess Hannah	Objects - visual impact, impact on wildlife - particularly badgers, health concerns. Consultation wasn't sent to enough addresses	Sent health info and plans, aware of badgers and no impact.
18	26/04/2017	Email	Jake Bancroft-Livingston	Objects - visual impact, impact on wildlife - particularly badgers, health concerns. Consultation wasn't sent to enough addresses	Sent health info and plans, aware of badgers and no impact.
19	27/04/2017	Email	Mr & Mrs Dunckley	Objects - visual impact, health concerns, badgers.	
20	27/04/2017	Email	Gail Barnett	Objects - visual impact, badger set, health concerns, impinges on human rights	Sent plans, health info. Aware of badgers
21	28/04/2017	Email	Peter Meddings	Objects - visual impact. Site Provider is the Recreation Trust, not the Parish Council - everything has been done in a secretive fashion, what makes consultation successful, do we adhere to planning policies, when will consultation results be made public, will we withdraw if we have negative responses	Confirmed discussions were between SA and SP, information would not be released by SA. Case Officer responsibility to determine acceptability, pre-con results will be in planning statement.
22	28/04/2017	Email	Andy Lovell	Objects - too close to children's play area, concerns, visual impact, property values, goes against Government guidelines, must be a more suitable location	Sent health info and plans, discounted options in planning statement

23	28/04/2017	Email	Rob Crispin	Objects - location is inappropriate, why was location selected. Queries about power line, wants to know other ways to lodge an opinion, any more information available?	Discounted options in planning statement, Council will have own consultation, any information required just ask
24	28/04/2017	Email	David Chapman	Objects - visual impact, health concerns, impact on property values, inappropriate development in recreation space, more suitable locations	Sent plans and health info, discounted options will be in planning statement
25	28/04/2017	Email	Frances Watson	Objects - inappropriate location, health concerns, visual impact, not enough benefit, unstable land, badgers.	RM sent NPPF and photomontages, Badger survey carried out.
26	29/04/2017	Email	Sharon Stuart	Wants further info: specification of structure; who letter was sent to; what improvements will be made; noise emissions; radiation emissions; light emissions; what locations have been considered; why is recreation ground preferred; what technical assessments have been considered	Sent photomontage and plans, letter was sent to 116 addresses, no details on benefit, confirmed noise, no lights, radiation will be well within guidelines, discounted options and justification included in PS
27	29/04/2017	Email	Clarissa Hollis	Objects - shouldn't be sited in children's playground	Acknowledged
28	01/05/2017	Email	Richenda Wheeler	Objects - visual impact; negative impact on tourism; shouldn't be sited in public area; noise	Sent plans and photomontages, confirmed siting in public areas common
29	01/05/2017	Email	Samantha Twigg	Objects - health concerns; visual impact	Sent health info, photomontage and plans
30	01/05/2017	Email	Gillian Hancock	Objects - visual impact, health concerns; shouldn't be sited in a recreation ground	Sent health info, photomontage and plans
31	02/05/2017	Email	Victoria Soutar	Objects - health concerns, impact on AONB	Sent health info, photomontage and plans
32	02/05/2017	Email	Pete Mercer	Suggests the Rugby Club at the bottom of the village	Confirmed rugby club was not suitable.
33	02/05/2017	Email	Ross Munro	Supports - suggests a more appropriate colour is used to help blend into the background	Sent photomontages
34	02/05/2017	Email	Miss Carr and Mr Allen	Objects - mast will be at bottom of garden, impact on property values; will mast be lit; will it make a noise; inappropriate location; visual impact; other locations should be investigated; health concerns	Sent plans and photomontages, plus health info. Discounted options will be in planning statement
35	02/05/2017	Email	Mark Stuart (on behalf of "Say No To Mast" action group)	Petition of 298 signatures; objecting based on: inappropriate to site in recreation ground; unstable ground and presence of badgers; health concerns; visual impact Further email 09/05 - petition now has 522 signatures, online petition has 173	RM responded - sent photomontage and NPPF.
36	02/05/2017	Letter	Mr & Mrs Dunnett	Objects - shouldn't be located in recreation ground; visual impact on AONB; health concerns; breaches terms of gifting land to community; not enough info was supplied	
37	02/05/2017	Letter	Mss Denley	Objects - health concerns, shouldn't place in recreation ground	
38	03/05/2017	Email	Mr & Mrs Hewett	Objects - awkward access point, visual impact, can't it be sited within the church spire, what other locations were considered; would set a precedent	sent plans and photomontages, discounted options will be in planning statement
39	03/05/2017	Email	Anne-Marie Randall	Objects - visual impact; health concerns; badger sett; should withdraw proposal	Sent plans, photomontages and para 46 info. Badger sett will not be impacted
40	03/05/2017	Email	Emma Mercer	Objects - should be put to a public vote, health concerns, visual impact	Sent plans, photomontages and para 46 info
41	03/05/2017	Email	John Gliberry	Full unqualified support	Acknowledged
42	03/05/2017	Letter	Dr Evans - Painswick Surgery	Offering surgery as alternative location	
43	04/05/2017	Email	Nick Watson	Objects - shouldn't be sited in recreation ground, unstable land, visual impact	Sent plans and photomontages
44	04/05/2017	Email	Fiona Gill (Youth Club leader)	Objects - sent email to Parish Council. Health concerns	
45	10/05/2017	Email	Mr & Mrs Harris	Objects - inappropriate to site in recreation ground, health concerns, visual impact	
46	10/05/2017	Email	Betty Harris	Objects - shouldn't be in a recreation ground, visual impact	
47	20/05/2017	Email	Paul Wallace	Objects - health concerns, visual impact, concerned that consultation is just 'box ticking'	